

AO 120 (Rev.3/04)

TO: <b>Mail Stop 8</b> <b>Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office</b> <b>P.O. Box 1450</b> <b>Alexandria, VA 22313-1450</b>	<b>REPORT ON THE</b> <b>FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN</b> <b>ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK</b>
---	---

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised  
that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court San Diego on the following Patents or Trademarks:

DOCKET NO. 07CV1125-L(AJB)	DATE FILED 6/21/07	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Southern District of California
PLAINTIFF Pulse-Link Incorporated		DEFENDANT Tzero Technologies Incorporated
<b>PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.</b>	<b>PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.</b>	<b>PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.</b>
1 6,970,448	6	11
2	7	12
3	8	13
4	9	14
5	10	15

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s)/trademark(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading		
<b>PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.</b>	<b>PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.</b>	<b>PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.</b>	
1	6	11	
2	7	12	
3	8	13	
4	9	14	
5	10	15	

In the above-entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgment issued:

DECISION/JUDGMENT  <i>attached</i>		
CLERK W. Samuel Hamrick, Jr.	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK <i>Adrianna Garcia</i>	DATE 8/1/08

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PULSE-LINK INCORPORATED,

Plaintiff,

v.

TZERO TECHNOLOGIES,  
INCORPORATED,

Defendant;

And related counterclaims.

Civil No. 07cv1125-L(AJB)

**ORDER GRANTING JOINT  
MOTION TO STAY LITIGATION  
PENDING *INTER PARTES*  
REEXAMINATION IN THE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND  
TRADEMARK OFFICE**

The parties filed a joint motion seeking to stay this action pending the United States Patent and Trademark Office's ("PTO") consideration of their petition for reexamination of United States Patent No. 6,970,448 ("448 Patent") and, if the petition is granted, the reexamination of the '448 Patent. Because this case is in its early stages and because a reexamination is likely to simplify the issues before this court, the joint motion for stay is **GRANTED.**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. All proceedings in this case, including discovery, are **STAYED** and all hearing dates set on the court's calendar are **VACATED.**


/////

1 2. Because a reexamination can take up to two or more years, this case is  
2 **TEMPORARILY CLOSED.** Either party may file an ex parte application to reopen the case  
3 after either (a) the PTO denies Tzero Technologies, Incorporated's ("Tzero") request for  
4 reexamination of the '448 Patent; or (b) the PTO issues a reexamination certificate for the '448  
5 Patent. The ex parte application shall be filed no later than thirty (30) calendar days after either  
6 event, and shall include a copy of the PTO's denial of the petition for reexamination or a copy of  
7 the reexamination certificate.

8 3. Upon reopening of the case, the parties shall comply with their respective outstanding  
9 discovery obligations and shall schedule a case management conference before the Hon.  
10 Anthony J. Battaglia to reset case management dates. Neither party shall argue that the passage  
11 of time due to the stay prejudiced them or that it justifies delaying resolution of this case.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13  
14 DATED: July 21, 2008

  
M. James Lorenz  
United States District Court Judge

15  
16 COPY TO:

17 HON. ANTHONY J. BATTAGLIA  
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

19 ALL PARTIES/COUNSEL  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28